

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,243	12/05/2003	Patrick O'Neal Nunally		2466
75	90 02/07/2006		EXAMINER	
Patrick Nunally			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
2227 Villa Verd	le Road			
Escondido, CA	92029		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3751	· · · · · ·
			DATE MAN ED 02/07/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,243	MUNALLY DATE	ICK O'NEAL
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	NUNALLY, PATRI	CK ONEAL
The MAN INC DATE of the control of the	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the County Aproposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expired on _	·	-
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file	ion consists only of: (1) a timely filed a ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	amendment which place	es the
Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	•		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se		empt at a proper reply,	to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.			
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL)		n the statutory period of	f three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if required by 37	7 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notic	e of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	insmission dated	_), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire into	erest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity unde	er 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interdof the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		ise the period for seekii	ng court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
		Tuan Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3751	<u> </u>
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with	draw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be pr	omptly filed to
minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			
PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Noti	ce of Abandonment	Part of Paper No.	2006